Tagged-Item Performance Protocol (TIPP)
Grade Submission Procedure

R1.0 — DEC 01 2015

CONTENTS

ABOUT GS1

GS1® is a neutral, not-for-profit, global organization that develops and maintains the most widely-used supply chain standards system in the world. GS1 Standards improve the efficiency, safety, and visibility of supply chains across multiple sectors. With local Member Organizations in over 110 countries, GS1 engages with communities of trading partners, industry organizations, governments, and technology providers to understand and respond to their business needs through the adoption and implementation of global standards. GS1 is driven by over a million user companies, which execute more than six billion transactions daily in 150 countries using GS1 Standards.

ABOUT GS1 US

GS1 US, a member of the global information standards organization GS1, brings industry communities together to solve supply-chain problems through the adoption and implementation of GS1 Standards. Nearly 300,000 businesses in 25 industries rely on GS1 US for trading-partner collaboration and for maximizing the cost effectiveness, speed, visibility, security and sustainability of their business processes. They achieve these benefits through solutions based on GS1 global unique numbering and identification systems, barcodes, Electronic Product Code (EPC®)-enabled RFID, data synchronization, and electronic information exchange. GS1 US also manages the United Nations Standard Products and Services Code® (UNSPSC®). www.GS1US.org.

1 INTRODUCTION

GS1 US defined a Tagged Item Performance Protocol (TIPP) that establishes a set of performance grades for radio frequency identification (RFID) tagged items and a test procedure to validate the grade of a tagged item.

Performance grades are not directly related to item category or use case. However, as use cases expand and new technology becomes available, it is expected that new grades will need to be developed to accommodate the range of performance required. This document defines a draft process for establishing new grades.

2 GRADE SUBMISSION PROCEDURE

Grades are added by request from the end user community. A community member submits a grade proposal request to GS1 US Apparel and General Merchandise Initiative Item Level Implementation Workgroup. Group members review the grade proposal, provide feedback, and reach consensus on the proposed grade. Consensus may necessitate combining this grade with another existing (or newly proposed grade) or modifying the grade.

If accepted, the new grade will be approved via the GS1 US Guideline approval and documentation process. This process will include a Workgroup review and ballot for passage, and final approval of the GS1 US Apparel and General Merchandise Executive Leadership Committee.

Standard rules of order for participation, contribution and reaching consensus and voting will be the responsibility of the facilitator of the Workgroup and the GS1 Global Standards Management Process (GSMP) for this will be followed.

3 PROCESS Q/A

3.1 WHO MAY SUBMIT REQUESTS FOR NEW GRADES?

Any end user member of the GS1 US Item Level Implementation Workgroup may submit new grade proposals. An "end user" is defined by GS1 US as: *companies and other organizations that make use of components of the GS1 System of Standards to conduct their business*.

Additionally, the group may consider submissions from GS1 US Item Level Implementation Workgroup member organizations made on behalf of a sponsoring end user.

Grade submissions that come to the group from someone other than a Workgroup member will be considered on a case by case basis.

It is the goal of GS1 US to have every grade used by the community. Since TIPP is for communication of RFID performance requirements between end users, their sponsorship is required for a new grade submission.

3.2 WHAT DOES A GRADE PROPOSAL SUBMISSION CONTAIN?

A grade submission must contain:

- The performance tables for the grade with the performance levels indicated. (Samples are provided in the Appendix.)
- If the item is not in the catalog document, drawings of the item placement are required.

A grade submission may contain:

- A proposed name for the grade.
- A description of a use case that motivates the new grade.
- A test report of a tagged-item that meets the grade level with a description of the item and tagging solution.

3.3 TO WHOM DOES THE REQUEST GET SUBMITTED?

Grade requests must be submitted using the GS1 US Grade Submission Form to ApparelGM@gs1us.org.

3.4 HOW DO I KNOW IF MY PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS MERIT A NEW GRADE?

During TIPP development, technical participants outlined a maximum level of granularity in the grading system. A maximum level of granularity was defined to ensure that the industry makes efficient use of new grades, allowing significant performance differences to be reflected by grade levels without an excess proliferation of grades.

It was determined that one of the following differences would merit consideration for a new grade:

- ***If the grades' peak sensitivity differs significantly from existing grades.***
  - The Workgroup participants concluded that ≥ 2 dB was a significant difference.

- ***If the grades' peak backscatter power differs significantly from existing grades***
  - The Workgroup participants concluded that > 2 dB was a significant difference.

- ***If the orientation response differs from existing grades significantly.***
  - The Workgroup participants concluded that a tagged-item 3 dB beam-width difference of 60 degrees in azimuth or elevation was a significant difference.

3.5 WHAT IS THE REVIEW PROCESS?

The following outlines the grade review process:

1. The Workgroup facilitator will announce and circulate the grade proposal at least three calendar days in advance of an initial grade review.

2. At the preliminary review meeting, the proposal submitter will present and rationalize the new grade during the initial grade proposal review meeting.

3. At the close of the preliminary review meeting, the Workgroup facilitator will announce (via email) the start of the review period of the grade proposal.

4. A minimum 21-calendar day review period will commence that allows all parties to give feedback on the grade and perform any testing necessary.

5. After the review period, a 2nd review meeting will be held to discuss any feedback and any proposed alterations to the grade proposal, including the grade name. If consensus is reached, and a quorum is present, the grade proposal can be approved by the Workgroup.

6. If consensus is not reached or a quorum is not present, the grade proposal will be revisited at the subsequent meeting(s) at the discretion of the facilitator. If consensus or quorum is still not reached, the grade will go to a Workgroup ballot for approval.

7. The submitter may withdraw the proposal at any time before the grade is approved or rejected.

3.6 WHAT HAPPENS DURING A REVIEW?

During review, the members evaluate the current grade proposal to:

- Ensure that the performance levels in the grade proposal are physically realizable with existing technology.

- Evaluate the grade proposal relative to existing grades and granularity levels to ensure that it provides enough performance difference to justify the new grade.

- Evaluate the performance numbers for the grade and determine the appropriate name for the grade. This evaluation may also include defining a new grade class.

3.7 HOW DO I GIVE FEEDBACK DURING A REVIEW?

Feedback is given during the review period via the Workgroup mailing list and/or regularly schedule Workgroup meetings.

3.8 CAN MY GRADE PROPOSAL BE MODIFIED?

During the review process, members can provide feedback on the proposal. Feedback may include combining with or merging with another grade or modifying this grade to meet other members' TIPP requirements. This is a consensus-driven process, and it's expected that there may be changes to the grade proposed by the community during the feedback process. However, the submitter has the discretion to accept or reject feedback and modifications.

3.9 HOW LONG WILL IT TAKE TO GET MY GRADE PROPOSAL REVIEWED AND APPROVED?

GS1 US will hold grade proposal review meetings during TIPP Workgroup calls. A grade proposal review will be on the agenda at least once per calendar month. If more than one grade has been submitted, they will be placed on the agenda in the order of submission. In the event that not all grade submissions have been presented/discussed, follow up meetings will be scheduled. It's expected that it will take 30-45 days to approve a new grade.

3.10 HOW DOES MY APPROVED GRADE GET PUBLISHED?

Once a grade is approved by the TIPP Workgroup, it must go through the GS1 US publication process. It is at the discretion of the GS1 US facilitator to submit approved grades to GS1 US for approval individually or as a group if there are multiple grades in the review process within the Workgroup.

This publication process may take up to 30-60 days to complete. The Workgroup may work from a "draft" version of the publication as the document is prepared for publication.

4 APPENDIX – SAMPLE GRADE DATA FILE

Include these tables for each grade submission. If the grade is an M grade and has stacking requirements, include stacked grade tables as well.

SENSITIVITY				
	ANTENNA			
	1	2	3	4
0				
30				
60				
120				
150				
180				
210				
240				
300				
330				

BACKSCATTER				
	ANTENNA			
	1	2	3	4
0				
30				
60				
120				
150				
180				
210				
240				
300				
330				

PROPRIETARY STATEMENT

This document contains proprietary information of GS1 US. Such proprietary information may not be changed for use with any other parties for any other purpose without the expressed written permission of GS1 US.

IMPROVEMENTS

Improvement and changes are periodically made to publications by GS1 US. All material is subject to change without notice. Please refer to GS1 US website for the most current publication available.

DISCLAIMER:

Except as may be otherwise indicated in specific documents within this publication, you are authorized to view documents within this publication, subject to the following:

1. You agree to retain all copyright and other proprietary notices on every copy you make.

2. Some documents may contain other proprietary notices and copyright information relating to that document. You agree that GS1 US has not conferred by implication, estoppels or otherwise any license or right under any patent, trademark or copyright (except as expressly provided above) of GS1 US or of any third party.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Any GS1 US publication may include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors. GS1 US assumes no responsibility for and disclaims all liability for any errors or omissions in this publication or in other documents which are referred to within or linked to this publication. Some jurisdictions do not allow the exclusion of implied warranties, so the above exclusion may not apply to you.

Several products and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks and/or registered trademarks of their respective companies. GS1 US does not, by promulgating this document on behalf of the parties involved in the creation of this document, represent that any methods, products, and/or systems discussed or recommended in the document do not violate the intellectual property rights of any third party. GS1 US has not performed a search to determine what intellectual property may be infringed by an implementation of any strategies or suggestions included in this document. GS1 US hereby disclaims any liability for any party's infringement of intellectual property rights that arise as a result of any implementation of strategies or suggestions included in this document.

This publication may be distributed internationally and may contain references to GS1 US products, programs and services that have not been announced in your country. These references do not imply that GS1 US intends to announce such products, programs or services in your country.

NO LIABILITY FOR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGE

In no event shall GS1 US or anyone else involved in the creation, production, or delivery of the accompanying documentation be liable for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of business profits, business interruption, loss of business information, or other loss) arising out of the use of or the results of use of or inability to use such documentation, even if GS1 US has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

IAPMO

In this publication, the letters "U.P.C." are used solely as an abbreviation for the "Universal Product Code" which is a product identification system. They do not refer to the UPC, which is a federally registered certification mark of the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO) to certify compliance with a Uniform Plumbing Code as authorized by IAPMO.

GS1 US®

THE GLOBAL LANGUAGE OF BUSINESS

FOLLOW US: