Tagged-Item Performance Protocol (TIPP) Tagged-Item Grading

GRADE DEFINITIONS

R2.0 - NOV 17 2015







CONTENTS

1	Doc	cument Information	4
	1.1	Document Summary	
	1.2	TIPP Document Series	
	1.3	Scope	
	1.4	Contributors	
2	Intro	oduction	
3		de Identifiers	
4	Gra	de Definitions	7
	4.1	Performance Grade SO5B	8
	4.2	Performance Grade S15B	9
	4.3	Performance Grade S15D	10
	4.4	Performance Grade S20B	11
	4.5	Performance Grade M05B	12
	4.6	Performance Grade M10B	13
	4.7	Performance Grade M15B	14
	4.8	Performance Grade M20D	15
5	The	GS1 US Grade Submission Procedure	16



ABOUT GS1

GS1® is a neutral, not-for-profit, global organization that develops and maintains the most widely-used supply chain standards system in the world. GS1 Standards improve the efficiency, safety, and visibility of supply chains across multiple sectors. With local Member Organizations in over 110 countries, GS1 engages with communities of trading partners, industry organizations, governments, and technology providers to understand and respond to their business needs through the adoption and implementation of global standards. GS1 is driven by over a million user companies, which execute more than six billion transactions daily in 150 countries using GS1 Standards.

ABOUT GS1 US

GS1 US, a member of the global information standards organization GS1, brings industry communities together to solve supply-chain problems through the adoption and implementation of GS1 Standards. Nearly 300,000 businesses in 25 industries rely on GS1 US for trading-partner collaboration and for maximizing the cost effectiveness, speed, visibility, security and sustainability of their business processes. They achieve these benefits through solutions based on GS1 global unique numbering and identification systems, barcodes, Electronic Product Code (EPC®)-enabled RFID, data synchronization, and electronic information exchange. GS1 US also manages the United Nations Standard Products and Services Code® (UNSPSC®). www.GS1US.org.



1 DOCUMENT INFORMATION

1.1 DOCUMENT SUMMARY

DOCUMENT ITEM	CURRENT VALUE
DOCUMENT TITLE	Tagged-Item Performance Protocol (TIPP) Tagged-Item Grading: Grade Definitions
DATE LAST MODIFIED	11/16/2015
CURRENT DOCUMENT ISSUE	R2.0 Nov 17 2014
STATUS	Final
DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION	Provides the specific definitions for RFID performance grades

1.2 TIPP DOCUMENT SERIES

This document is part of a series of documents laying out the tagged-item grading system for specifying tagged-item performance between retailers and suppliers. The series include the following four documents:

- The document entitled <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading: Overview</u> provides an overview of the tagged-item grading guideline.
- The document entitled <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading: Grade Definitions</u> defines the grade specifications. (This is the current document.)
- The document entitled <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading: Testing Methodology</u> presents the test procedure and measurement methods to qualify or establish the grade for a tagged item.
- The document entitled <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading Testing Configurations</u> defines the orientation for various types of tagged items (which is critical for repeatable testing using the TIPP procedure).

1.3 SCOPE

This document introduces the TIPP grades, explains the TIPP grade naming system, and defines the specifications for tagged-item sensitivity and backscatter for each grade. It is intended for parties learning about the TIPP grading guidelines and methodology including retailers, suppliers and solution providers.

NOTE: As with all GS1 Standards and solutions, the Tagged-Item Grading System is voluntary, not mandatory. It should be noted that use of the words "must" and "require" throughout this document relate exclusively to technical recommendations for the proper application of the testing protocol to support the integrity of your application.



1.4 CONTRIBUTORS

NAME	ORGANIZATION	
SWEENEY, PAM	Macy's	Workgroup Co-Chair
PURCELL, BEBE	VF Corporation	Workgroup Co-Chair
ARNSTEIN, LARRY	Impinj, Inc.	Editor
DIETRICH, PAUL	Wrada	Facilitator
MORGAN, GENA	GS1 US	Facilitator
CHINWALA, MAC	Alien Technology, Corporation	
MITCHELL, NEIL	Alien Technology, Corporation	
MITCHELL, MICHAEL	Alien Technology, Corporation	
ERVIN, PATRICK	Alien Technology, Corporation	
PATTON, JUSTIN	Auburn University	
CP, SENTHILKUMAR	Auburn University	
VARGAS, JULIE	Avery Dennison Corporation	
SOWLE, ELIZABETH	Avery Dennison Corporation	
PERNICE, BOB	Avery Dennison Corporation	
DYCHE, GEORGE	Avery Dennison Corporation	
BARTON, KRIS	Avery Dennison Corporation	
LI, MORUI	Checkpoint Systems, Inc.	
RACETTE, TOM	Checkpoint Systems, Inc.	
COODUVALLI, UMESH	Checkpoint Systems, Inc.	
NUCE, MELANIE	GS1 US	
JAVICK, PATRICK	GS1 US	
ALLIGOOD, TYNDALL	Hanes Brands	
LIU, JEREMY	Invengo Technology Corp.	
GOMILLION, JOE	Invengo Technology Corp.	
COMPITELLO, BILL	Invengo Technology Corp.	
SMITH, KAREN	Jockey International, Inc.	
MIRSBERGER, MICHAEL	Jockey International, Inc.	
LEMARRE, PAM	Jockey International, Inc.	
STEGALL, GARY	Kayser-Roth	
CULLEN, BRAD	Kohl's Department Stores Inc.	
SOMERS, JOE	Kohl's Department Stores Inc.	
FORECKI, ABBY	Kohl's Department Stores Inc.	
CAVADEL, GLENN	Kohl's Department Stores Inc.	
HOCHKOEPPLER, ERNESTO	Levi Strauss & Company	
CISTERNINO, JOHN	Levi Strauss & Company	
SMITH, ANDREA	Lord & Taylor	
DESMONS, DIMITRI	LSIS Co., Ltd.	
WHITNEY, KRIS	Macy's	
WILKERSON, BRETT	MSM Solutions	



NAME	ORGANIZATION	
STOTT, NIGEL	NXP Semiconductors	
KOLARIC, VLATK	NXP Semiconductors	
BOUCHER, PIERRE	Peerless Clothing	
WEISENFELD, GALE	PVH Corp.	
NAGY, CHRIS	PVH Corp.	
TEITELBAUM, MICHAEL	r-pac International Corp.	
ARGUIN, PAUL	r-pac International Corp.	
REESE, CHRIS	Smartrac Technology Group	
KOSKELAINEN, TUOMAS	Smartrac Technology Group	
STIGALL, RANDY	Smartrac Technology Group	
WOOD, ROBERT	SML	
STONE, MIKE	SML	
RAMZAN, MO	SML	
DEW, TOMMY	SML	
DAILY, MIKE	SML	
CONSIDINE, THOMAS	SML	
CALDERBANK, PHIL	SML	
REPELLIN, LUCIEN	Stealth Network Communications	
NYSWONGER, MARGARET	Stealth Network Communications	
GROBLER, MIKE	Tageos	
MEDLIN, STAN	VF Corporation	
ISLEY, DAVID	VF Corporation	
CRAFT, JAY	VF Corporation	
PARTANEN, JUHO	Voyantic Ltd.	
BLACK, TIM	Xtreprise	



2 INTRODUCTION

The Tagged-Item Performance Protocol (TIPP) Workgroup developed a tagged-item grading system to facilitate the specification of tagged-item performance between retailers and suppliers. This system defines a set of "grades" constructed by coalescing several tagged-item performance specifications that are based on a proven set of grade factors defined by Arkansas Radio Compliance (ARC) at Auburn University's RFID Lab. These factors include sensitivity, backscatter power, orientation, and frequency. Each grade is represented by a name that comprises three indicators: configuration, performance level, and orientation. As such, TIPP grades are simply tagged-item performance specifications given a shorthand names.

3 GRADE IDENTIFIERS

TIPP provides a shorthand naming system for its grades. The naming system provides a convenient way to reference the large set of performance factors contained within each grade definition. (See the <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading: Overview</u> for more description on grade naming.)

TIPP grades are named with a multi-dimensional naming system. A TIPP grade has three components:

- A single letter S or M denoting the test configuration for the tagged item.
 - S stands for single item. When a grade specifies S, the test procedure is performed on a single item and must meet the item factors specified by the grade definition.
 - M stands for multiple items. When a grade specifies M, the test procedure is performed on a stack of 2 items and a stack of 11 items, and must meet the item factors specified by the grade definition.
- A number (e.g. 5, 10, 20, etc.) that specifies item factor performance levels.
 - The initial TIPP numbers are multiples of five to allow space in between existing grades if needed in the future. (However, it is not anticipated that any intermediate performance levels would be needed.)
 - Larger numbers correspond to higher performing tagged items.
- A letter (e.g. B or D) specifying the family to which the grade belongs. Within a family, tagged items automatically pass lower numbered grades if they pass higher numbered grades. For example, a tagged-item that passes M15B also passes M10B because they are both in the B family. However the same tagged-item might not pass M15D because it is in the D family, or S15B because it is an S (single item) grade instead of an M (stacked) grade. As tag and reader technologies and use-cases progress, new grades within families and new families of grades with different characteristics will be needed.

4 GRADE DEFINITIONS

Each grade is defined by a set of tables that specify the tagged-item sensitivity and backscatter at various orientations. The entries in the table specify the power at the tag in Decibel-milliwatts (dBm) according to the test procedures defined in the <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading: Testing Methodology</u>. If an entry in a table is blank, then there is no specification for that orientation. To determine if a tagged item meets a given grade, the test must be performed according to the test procedures defined in the <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading: Testing Methodology</u> using tag placement and configurations specified in the <u>TIPP Tagged-Item Grading Testing Configurations</u>.



4.1 PERFORMANCE GRADE SO5B

SENSITIVITY						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-3.5	-3.5	-2.5	-2.5		
30	1	2	4	2		
60						
120						
150	1	2	4	2		
180	-3.5	-3.5	-2.5	-2.5		
210	1	2	4	2		
240						
300						
330	1	2	4	2		

BACKSCATTER						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-29	-30	-30	-29		
30						
60						
120						
150						
180	-29	-30	-30	-29		
210						
240						
300						
330						



4.2 PERFORMANCE GRADE S15B

SENSITIVITY						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-6.5	-6.5	-5.5	-5.5		
30	-2	-1	1	-1		
60						
120						
150	-2	-1	1	-1		
180	-6.5	-6.5	-5.5	-5.5		
210	-2	-1	1	-1		
240						
300						
330	-2	-1	1	-1		

BACKSCATTER						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-31	-32	-32	-31		
30						
60						
120						
150						
180	-31	-32	-32	-31		
210						
240						
300						
330						



4.3 PERFORMANCE GRADE S15D

SENSITIVITY						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-6.5	-6.5	-5.5	-5.5		
30	-5	-5	-4	-4		
60						
120						
150	-5	-5	-4	-4		
180	-6.5	-6.5	-5.5	-5.5		
210	-5	-5	-4	-4		
240						
300						
330	-5	-5	-4	-4		

BACKSCATTER						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-33	-32	-33	-33		
30						
60						
120						
150						
180	-33	-32	-33	-33		
210						
240						
300						
330						



4.4 PERFORMANCE GRADE S20B

SENSITIVITY						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-9.5	-9.5	-8.5	-8.5		
30	-5	-4	-2	-4		
60						
120						
150	-5	-4	-2	-4		
180	-9.5	-9.5	-8.5	-8.5		
210	-5	-4	-2	-4		
240						
300						
330	-5	-4	-2	-4		

BACKSCATTER						
	ANTENNA					
	1	2	3	4		
0	-32	-33	-33	-32		
30						
60						
120						
150						
180	-32	-33	-33	-32		
210						
240						
300						
330						



4.5 PERFORMANCE GRADE M05B

RIFNTATION

7	7	
	5	
	_	
	•	
١	•	
L	J	
	,	
ì	1	

2 STACK SENSITIVITY				
		ANTE	INNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	-0.5	1	1.5	1.5
30	2	4	4	3
60				
120				
150	2	4	4	3
180	-0.5	1	1.5	1.5
210	2	4	4	3
240				
300				
330	2	4	4	3

11 STACK SENSITIVITY				
		ANTE	ENNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	5	5	6	6
30				
60				
120				
150				
180	5	5	6	6
210				
240				
300				
330				

Z
0
E
₹
z
쁘
R
$\mathbf{\circ}$

2 STACK BACKSCATTER				
		ANTE	NNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	-33	-34	-37	-36
30	-35	-35		
60				
120				
150	-35	-35		
180	-33	-34	-37	-36
210	-35	-35		
240				
300				
330	-35	-35		

11 STACK BACKSCATTER				
		ANTE	INNA	
	1	2	3	4
0				
30				
60				
120				
150				
180				
210				
240				
300				
330				

ORIENTATION



4.6 PERFORMANCE GRADE M10B

2 STACK SENSITIVITY				
		ANTE	INNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	-3.5	-2	-1.5	-1.5
30	-1	1	1	0
60				
120				
150	-1	1	1	0
180	-3.5	-2	-1.5	-1.5
210	-1	1	1	0
240				
300				
330	-1	1	1	0

11 STACK SENSITIVITY				
		ANTE	INNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	2	2	3	3
30				
60				
120				
150				
180	2	2	3	3
210				
240				
300				
330				

2 STACK BACKSCATTER				
		ANTE	ENNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	-32	-33	-36	-35
30	-34	-34		
60				
120				
150	-34	-34		
180	-32	-33	-36	-35
210	-34	-34		
240				
300				
330	-34	-34		

11 STACK BACKSCATTER				
		ANTE	ENNA	
	1	2	3	4
0				
30				
60				
120				
150				
180				
210				
240				
300				
330				



ORIENTATION

ORIENTATION

4.7 PERFORMANCE GRADE M15B

2 STACK SENSITIVITY				
		ANTE	NNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	-7	-6	-3	-4
30	-3.5	-2.5	-2.25	-2
60				
120				
150	-3.5	-2.5	-2.25	-2
180	-7	-6	-3	-4
210	-3.5	-2.5	-2.25	-2
240				
300				
330	-3.5	-2.5	-2.25	-2

11 STACK SENSITIVITY				
		ANTE	NNA	
	1	2	3	4
0	-1	-2	-3	-2
30				
60				
120				
150				
180	-1	-2	-3	-2
210				
240				
300				
330				

2 STACK BACKSCATTER				
	ANTENNA			
	1	2	3	4
0	-31	-30	-30	-25
30	-32			
60				
120				
150	-32			
180	-31	-30	-30	-25
210	-32			
240				
300				
330	-32			

11 STACK BACKSCATTER						
	ANTENNA					
	1 2 3 4					
0						
30						
60						
120						
150						
180						
210						
240						
300						
330						

ORIENTATION



4.8 PERFORMANCE GRADE M20D

2 STACK SENSITIVITY				
	ANTENNA			
	1	2	3	4
0	-8	-6.5	-7	-7
30	-5.5	-6.5	-5.5	-2.5
60				
120				
150	-5.5	-6.5	-5.5	-2.5
180	-8	-6.5	-7	-7
210	-5.5	-6.5	-5.5	-2.5
240				
300				
330	-5.5	-6.5	-5.5	-2.5

11 STACK SENSITIVITY				
	ANTENNA			
	1	2	3	4
0	-1	-4	-5	-1
30				
60				
120				
150				
180	-1	-4	-5	-1
210				
240				
300				
330				

2 STACK BACKSCATTER					
	ANTENNA				
	1	2	3	4	
0	-21	-26	-26	-24	
30	-24	-25	-26	-27	
60					
120					
150	-24	-25	-26	-27	
180	-21	-26	-26	-24	
210	-24	-25	-26	-27	
240					
300					
330	-24	-25	-26	-27	

11 STACK BACKSCATTER				
	ANTENNA			
	1	2	3	4
0				
30				
60				
120				
150				
180				
210				
240				
300				
330				



5 THE GS1 US GRADE SUBMISSION PROCEDURE

GS1 US defined a <u>Tagged Item Performance Protocol (TIPP)</u> to establish a set of performance grades for RFID tagged-items and a test procedure to validate the grade of a tagged item.

Grades themselves are not directly related to item category or use case, but it is expected that as use cases expand and new technology becomes available, new grades will need to be developed to span the range of performance required. The <u>GS1 US Grade Submission Procedure</u> defines a draft process for establishing new grades.



PROPRIETARY STATEMENT

This document contains proprietary information of GS1 US. Such proprietary information may not be changed for use with any other parties for any other purpose without the expressed written permission of GS1 US.

IMPROVEMENTS

Improvement and changes are periodically made to publications by GS1 US. All material is subject to change without notice. Please refer to GS1 US website for the most current publication available.

DISCLAIMER:

Except as may be otherwise indicated in specific documents within this publication, you are authorized to view documents within this publication, subject to the following:

- 1. You agree to retain all copyright and other proprietary notices on every copy you make.
- Some documents may contain other proprietary notices and copyright information relating to that document. You agree that GS1 US has not conferred by implication, estoppels or otherwise any license or right under any patent, trademark or copyright (except as expressly provided above) of GS1 US or of any third party.

This publication is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Any GS1 US publication may include technical inaccuracies or typographical errors. GS1 US assumes no responsibility for and disclaims all liability for any errors or omissions in this publication or in other documents which are referred to within or linked to this publication. Some jurisdictions do not allow the exclusion of implied warranties, so the above exclusion may not apply to you.

Several products and company names mentioned herein may be trademarks and/or registered trademarks of their respective companies. GS1 US does not, by promulgating this document on behalf of the parties involved in the creation of this document, represent that any methods, products, and/or systems discussed or recommended in the document do not violate the intellectual property rights of any third party. GS1 US has not performed a search to determine what intellectual property may be infringed by an implementation of any strategies or suggestions included in this document. GS1 US hereby disclaims any liability for any party's infringement of intellectual property rights that arise as a result of any implementation of strategies or suggestions included in this document.

This publication may be distributed internationally and may contain references to GS1 US products, programs and services that have not been announced in your country. These references do not imply that GS1 US intends to announce such products, programs or services in your country.

NO LIABILITY FOR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGE

In no event shall GS1 US or anyone else involved in the creation, production, or delivery of the accompanying documentation be liable for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of business profits, business interruption, loss of business information, or other loss) arising out of the use of or the results of use of or inability to use such documentation, even if GS1 US has been advised of the possibility of such damages.

IAPMO

In this publication, the letters "U.P.C." are used solely as an abbreviation for the "Universal Product Code" which is a product identification system. They do not refer to the UPC, which is a federally registered certification mark of the International Association of Plumbing and Mechanical Officials (IAPMO) to certify compliance with a Uniform Plumbing Code as authorized by IAPMO.



CORPORATE HEADQUARTERS

Princeton Pike Corporate Center

1009 Lenox Drive, Suite 202, Lawrenceville, NJ 08648 USA
T +1 937.435.3870 E info@gslus.org W www.gslus.org

FOLLOW US:







